(95)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3509/2000

PETITIONERS

1. Savitri Rice Mill, a partnership firm, through Partner Shri Santosh Kumar Agrawal, S/o. Prahlad Rai Agrawal, R/o. Sahdevpali, Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh

2. Shivam Industries, Through Proprietor Suryakant Agrawal, S/o. Santosh Kumar Agrawal, R/o. Ganoliganj, Raigarh

3. Shiv Trading Company, a Partnership firm, Through Partner Sunil Kumar Gupta, S/o. Gopaldas Gupta, aged 28 years, R/o. Chhatmurah, Raigarh

4. Shankar Trading Company, a Partnership firm, Through Partner Arun Kumar Gupta, S/o. Shri K.K.Gupta, aged 35 years, R/o. Palese Road, Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh

5. Keshav paddy Processing Company, Through : Proprietor Natwarlal Gupta, S/o. Bhagirathlal Gupta, aged 38 years, R/o. Chhatmurah, Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh

6. M/s. Muralidhar Gupta (Par Boiling Unit) Through : Proprietor, Muralidhar Gupta, S/o. Bhagirathilal Gupta, aged 41 years, R/o. Palese Road, Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh

7. Durga Rice Mill, through Proprietor Radheshyam Agrawal, S/o. Badridas Agrawal, aged 50 years, R/o. Sangitarai, Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh

8. G.R.Par Boiling Unit, Through: Proprietor Gopiram Agrawal, S/o. Badridas Agrawal, R/o. Sangitarai, Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh

Vs.

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Madhya Pradesh, Through the Secretary Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh

2. The Collector, Office of Collector, Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh

96

3. The District Manager, Food Corporation of India, Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh

4. District Food Officer, Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(SB: Hon'ble Mr. **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.)**

ShriB.D.Guru, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State.
Shri B.P.Gupta, counsel for respondents No.3 & 4.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 14th day of November 2007)

I.A.NO. 2809/2001

This is an application filed by the respondents No.3 & 4 wherein it is stated that the present petition has become infructuous as the notification issued by the State of Madhya Pradesh in relation to levy of rice has come to an end in the month of September 2000.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner does not want to controvert the same.

Accordingly the petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Suguna